

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/033,909	03/03/1998	YUZO KIKUCHI	KIKUCHI=2	2662
1444 7	590 11/14/2002			
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			WACHTEL, ALEXIS A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	36
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	2 30

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/033,909	KIKUCHI, YUZO
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexis Wachtel	1771
The MAILING DATE f this com	nmunication appears on the cov r she t	with the correspondence addr ss
Therefore, further action by the applican inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may	only be either: (1) a timely filed amend I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or	his application. A proper reply to a
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from	om the mailing date of the final rejection.	
event, however, will the statutory period for ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE 1706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 C lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration	or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the material FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT FR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition undering the period of extension and the corresponding are date of the shortened statutory period for reply origical cellular than three months after the mailing date of	et forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ailing date of the final rejection.  THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _ 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension	Appellant's Brief must be filed wi n thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid d	ithin the period set forth in ismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will	not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that wo	ould require further consideration and/or	r search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new m	natter (see Note below);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	the application in better form for appea	al by materially reducing or simplifying th
(d) they present additional claim	ns without canceling a corresponding nu	umber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Shee	<u>et</u> .	
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome th	e following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended clair canceling the non-allowable clair</li> </ol>	m(s) would be allowable if submit n(s).	ted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a)⊡ affidavit, b)⊡ exhibit, o application in condition for allowa	r c)⊠ request for reconsideration has b ance because: <u>because it relies on a non-e</u>	peen considered but does NOT place the entered amendment.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT b raised by the Examiner in the final	e considered because it is not directed al rejection.	SOLELY to issues which were newly
	osed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be ent nended claims would be rejected is prov	
The status of the claim(s) is (or wi	ill be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>52-69</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from conside	ration:	
B. The proposed drawing correction	filed on is a) approved or b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Dis	closure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 52, 60,68,69 cancelled and Claims 70-73 added. Applicant's amendement raises new issues. In particular, applicant now submits claims that recite that the welding fabric comprises a fixing portion which is separate from the welding portion..

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700